

**Greater Sydney  
Commission**

**Business / Lobbyist Contact Meeting Record Form**

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> <b>Business Contact</b>		<input type="checkbox"/> <b>Lobbyist Contact</b>	
<b>Meeting Details</b>			
<b>Date of contact</b>	12 March 2018		
<b>Meeting start time</b>	4:00pm	<b>Meeting end time</b>	5:00pm
<b>Venue</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> GSC office <input type="checkbox"/> Council offices <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Off-site <input type="checkbox"/> Other		
<b>Venue Address</b>	Room 1254, Parliament House		
<b>Contact Type</b>			
<input type="checkbox"/> Developer or agent of a developer <input type="checkbox"/> Planning Consultant <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Proponent <input type="checkbox"/> Objector <input type="checkbox"/> Community Group <input type="checkbox"/> Local Government Council		<input type="checkbox"/> Environmental Group <input type="checkbox"/> Council or gov't agency acting as a developer <input type="checkbox"/> Minister or representative <input type="checkbox"/> Parliamentary Secretary <input type="checkbox"/> Other	
<b>Attendees or participants</b>	Name: Daryl Maguire Title: MP Organisation: NSW Government		
	Name: Louise Waterhouse Title: Landowner Organisation: The Waterhouse Group		
Other attendees or participants:			
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Allison Smith, Executive Manager, Urban development Division, APP Corporation</li> <li>Brett Whitworth, Executive Director, DPE</li> <li>Colin Langford, Director North West Precinct, RMS</li> </ul>			
<b>Officer Details</b>			
<b>Primary Officer</b>	Name: Sarah Hill Title: Chief Executive Officer		
<b>Additional Officer/s</b>	Philip Graus, Director, Western Sydney City Deal		
<b>Probity officer</b>	Name/s:		
<b>Branch</b>	CITY PLANNING PROJECTS		
<b>Project Details</b>			
<b>Project Title or Location Name</b>			
Western Sydney City Deal			

## Greater Sydney Commission

Address of site, project, proposal, or application

### Subject & Outcome

#### Subject Matter (Summary)

Initially advised purpose was to brief Daryl Maguire on the Western Sydney City Deal. Purpose on arrival was to provide Louise Waterhouse with an opportunity to seek her family's landholding changed from Metropolitan Rural Lands to Investigation Area in the Greater Sydney Region Plan and Western City District Plan

#### Matters discussed

Meeting was requested following a note from minister Pavey at CIC to Call Mr Maguire.

SH called Maguire in December 2017 who asked for a brief on Western Sydney issues in the new year. As he identified interest in road issues, SH suggested DPE, RMS and GSC (SH and Geoff Roberts as Interim District Commissioner, Western City) attend to provide a comprehensive view. There was no discussion at the time of a landowner / developer attending.

The original meeting date was changed owing to Commissioner Roberts not being able to attend.

On the day SH was advised the Louise Waterhouse (LW) was attending with her consultant when she met her at Parliament House security.

The meeting opened with SH introduced agencies and who was responsible for what.

SH gave an overview of the draft Region and draft District Plans, the process to date and key issues from submissions.

SH gave a brief overview of the city deal, its prospective governance and domains consistent with public presentations on the topic. SH identified the importance of jobs and how this was critical to the success of the Western City. All at the meeting agreed and LW stated that her proposal for her land was for employment generating uses not residential.

SH then handed over to Brett who spoke about the LUIP and the work of DPE

LW raised concerns about the MRA designation on her land and how it restricted development on her land. She advised her plans for employment generating uses on the site would generate in the order of 5,600 jobs. Little detail was given as to the masterplan or what range of uses were proposed other than LW's discussions with lots of 'innovative' businesses. LW advised that she had made a submission to the DDP (draft District Plan) and GSRP and that DPE had suggested she lodge a planning proposal. Owing to the cost and uncertainty she had not progressed to this stage.

Louise asked several times for the plans to include a hatched area over her land to show an investigation area. SH advised that many submissions had supported the retention of the MRA. The principle of an MRA had not changed since A Plan for Growing Sydney.

LW asked who had asked for the hatched area around St Mary's. SH advised that the hatched area over the land to the north around St Marys had been designated by the GSC, not a landowner. Further it was mostly urban land, there were a lot of impoverished social housing dwellings in the area and the proposed new rail line down the middle presented an important opportunity for renewal and social improvement to be investigated.

LW advised that people were upset about the airport and that land to the west could not be used for agricultural uses anymore because of planes going over the top. There was a discussion about new approaches to farming that addressed this. LW advised that her land had little supporting infrastructure and she needed govt. to support it with infrastructure such as new roads so they could generate jobs.

## Greater Sydney Commission

SH advised that the work Brett Whitworth from DPE was doing was finding that there were 10, 20 years' worth of land sufficient to generate for jobs east of the airport (the area far exceeded the size of Sydney CBD) and there would be an initial focus on providing infrastructure to uses in early stages to catalyse the whole area.

LW advised that discussions with Transport had inferred that the outer Sydney orbital would cut through her site. A discussion was then had about the lack of infrastructure to the LW site and the need for on / off ramps on her site. This part of the conversation was led by RMS.

SH undertook to advise the Commissioners of the meeting and LW's concerns, although SH advised that the GSC was not planning to change the MRA boundary, in light of the submissions it had received and that for the majority approved it.

SH suggested that LW wait to learn further about the alignment of the outer Sydney orbital. Once known this might present a case she might choose to make for moving the boundary of the MRA and Sydney's urban land to complement and not compete with uses in the Aerotropolis / aerospace and defence precinct. In the interim SH suggested that they review the uses currently permitted in the MRA i.e. tourism and recreational uses and how this aligned with their plans for uses such as golf ranges presented at the meeting.

SH advised that the GSRP and DP plan release was imminent.

### Notes / Actions

### Primary Outcome (Select one)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Meeting declined<br><input type="checkbox"/> GSC provided advice on process<br><input type="checkbox"/> GSC provided advice on progress of the matter/project | <input type="checkbox"/> Additional info to be provided by other parties to the meeting<br><input type="checkbox"/> Matter to be referred to or discussed with another party<br><input type="checkbox"/> Parties agreed to reconvene<br><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No further action |
|--|---|

### Notes

### Approval

Name of Officer completing and approving form

Name: Sarah Hill

Title: Chief Executive Officer

Signature: 

Date: 30.5.18.

5-5-18,